TREE EVALUATION METHOD FOR PRESERVATION ORDERS - TEMPO

| SURVEY DATA SHEET & DECISION GUIDE | | | |
|---|--|---------------------------------|--|
| Date:07/ | 06][7 Surveyor: \(\int \) | on Daviss | |
| Tree details | | 0 | |
| | disable). Trop | Group No: Species: Sycamore | |
| | | | |
| Owner (if know | vn): Local | THE WAY | |
| REFER TO GUIDANCE NOTE FOR ALL DEFINITIONS | | | |
| Part 1: Amenity assessment a) Condition & suitability for TPO | | | |
| 5) Good | Highly suitable | Score & Notes | |
| 3) Fair/satisfactory | Suitable | Store & Notes | |
| 1) Poor | Unlikely to be suitable | 12 | |
| 0) Dead/dying/dar | ngerous* Unsuitable | 2 | |
| | g context and is intended to apply to se | evere irremediable defects only | |
| b) Retention span | (in years) & suitability for TPO | | |
| 5) 100+ | Highly suitable | Cours R Mater | |
| 4) 40-100 | Very suitable | Score & Notes | |
| | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | |
| 2) 20-40 | Suitable | 14 | |
| 1) 10-20 | Just suitable | | |
| 0) <10* Unsuitable | | | |
| *Includes trees which are an existing or near future nuisance, including those <u>clearly</u> outgrowing their context, or which are | | | |
| significantly negat | ing the potential of other trees of bette | er quality | |
| c) Relative public visibility & suitability for TPO Consider realistic potential for future visibility with changed land use 5) Very large trees with some visibility, or prominent large trees Highly suitable 4) Large trees, or medium trees clearly visible to the public Suitable 3) Medium trees, or large trees with limited view only Sultable 2) Young, small, or medium/large trees visible only with difficulty Barely suitable 1) Trees not visible to the public, regardless of size Probably unsuitable | | | |
| d) Other factors Trees must have accrued 7 or more points (with no zero score) to qualify | | | |
| | | Score & Notes | |
| 5) Principal components of formal arboricultural features, or veteran trees 4) Tree groups, or principal members of groups important for their cohesion 3) Trees with identifiable historic, commemorative or habitat importance 2) Trees of particularly good form, especially if rare or unusual 1) Trees with none of the above additional redeeming features (inc. those of indifferent form) -1) Trees with poor form or which are generally unsuitable for their location | | | |
| Part 2: Expedience Trees must have a | y assessment accrued 10 or more points to qualify | | |
| 5) Immediate threat to tree inc. s.211 Notice | | | |
| 3) Foreseeable threat to tree | | Score & Notes | |
| 2) Perceived threat to tree | | | |
| 1) Precautionary only | | 5 | |
| Part 3: Decision guide | | | |
| Any 0 | Do not apply TPO | | |
| 1-6 | TPO indefensible | Add Scores for Total: Decision: | |
| 7-11 | Does not merit TPO | 116 114 1700 | |
| 12-15 | TPO defensible | () 1 stantil | |
| 16+ | Definitely merits TPO | | |
| 20. | ournitury manual 11 0 | | |